

BEFORE THE
COUNCIL OF FRESNO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS
RESOLUTION NO. 2010-07

In the Matter of:)
)
TRANSPORTATION)
DEVELOPMENT ACT)
OF 1971)
_____)

RESOLUTION OF APPROVAL
TRANSPORTATION FUNDING
CLAIM FOR THE CITY OF
FRESNO, 2009-10

WHEREAS, the Council of Fresno County Governments (COG) is the administrator of the Local Transportation Fund as provided by Chapter 1400 of the California Statutes of 1971, and the State Transit Assistance Fund as provided by Chapter 161 & 322 of the Statutes of 1979 and 1982, respectively, and

WHEREAS, the COG has the authority to review claims and allocate such funds in accordance with the Transportation Development Act of 1971 and Chapter 3 of Title 21 of the California Code of Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the County of Fresno has submitted a Transportation Funding Claim for its 2009-10 fiscal year apportionment.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Transportation Funding Claim submitted by the City of Fresno has been reviewed and the following findings are hereby made:

1. The Funding Claim submitted by the City of Fresno has been reviewed and found to be in conformance with the adopted Regional Transportation Plan.
2. That the COG finds that priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions in federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high-priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.
3. On June 25, 2009 the COG Board approved Resolution 2009-22 which found that public transportation needs within the City of Fresno and its sphere of influence will be reasonably met in 2009-10.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the COG hereby approves the Transportation Funding Claim submitted by the City of Coalinga and allocates monies from the Transportation Development Act in accordance with the attached claim which is hereby made a part of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Auditor-Controller of the County of Fresno cause the approved claim to be paid in the manner and time directed by the Executive Director of the Council of Fresno County Governments.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was passed and adopted by the Council of Fresno County Governments this 25th day of March, 2010.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

ATTEST:

Signed: _____
Trinidad M. Rodriguez, Chairman

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a resolution of the Council of Fresno County Governments duly adopted at a regular meeting thereof held on the 25th day of March, 2010.

Signed: _____
Tony Boren, Executive Director

Date: 3/11/10

Claimant: City of Fresno

**TRANSPORTATION FUNDING CLAIM
FOR FISCAL YEAR:**

2009/10

TO: Council of Fresno County Governments
2100 Tulare Street, Suite 619
Fresno, California 93721

FROM: Applicant: City of Fresno - Dept. of Transportation/FAX
Address: 2223 G Street
City: Fresno Zip Code: 93706
Contact: Kathleen Healy Phone: (559) 621-1441

This applicant is an eligible claimant pursuant to Section 99203 of the Public Utilities Code and certifies that the following transportation funds are available to be claimed:

Local Transportation Fund

Apportionment	\$ 14,660,134
Surplus (Prior Years)	\$ 0
Unexpended, Held by Claimant	\$ 0
Other Agency <u>County of Fresno</u>	\$ 1,869,519
<u>City of Clovis</u>	\$207,731

State Transit Assistance Fund

Estimate	\$ 0
Prior Year Carryover	\$
Unexpended, Held by Claimant	\$

Other

Audit Exception/Impairment (required General Fund Payback)	\$ 0
Other	\$

TOTAL \$ 16,737,384

In accordance with Title 21, Chapter 3, Subchapter 2 and 2.5 of the California Code of Regulations, it is requested that an allocation be made in the total amount of

Sixteen million, seven hundred and thirty-seven thousand, three hundred and eighty-four dollars
(spell out amount)

for the purposes and respective amounts specified in the attached claim be drawn from the Local Transportation Fund and State Transit Assistance Fund

Authorized Signature: _____

Title: Administration Manager

Date: March 11, 2010

Claimant: City of Fresno

PURPOSE	AMOUNT	SUB-TOTAL
1. Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities (Art. 3).....	\$ 293,319	
(Art. 8a)	\$ _____	
Audit Exceptions (General Fund Payback)	\$ _____	
Unexpended Funds Held By Claimant	\$ _____	
		SUB-TOTAL \$ 293,319
2. Regional Transportation Planning		SUB-TOTAL \$ 409,618
3. Public Transportation (Art. 4).....	\$ 13,244,296	
(Art. 8c).....	\$ _____	
Other Agency (County).....	\$ 1,869,519	
Other Agency (Clovis).....	\$ 207,731	
State Transit Assistance Funds	\$ _____	
Audit Exceptions (General Fund Payback)	\$ _____	
Unexpended Funds Held By Claimant	\$ _____	
Other, specify (Surplus).....	\$ _____	
		SUB-TOTAL \$ 15,321,546
4. Community Transit Service (CTSA, Art. 4.5)		SUB-TOTAL \$ _____ 0
5. Streets & Roads (Art. 8a)	\$ -	
Audit Exceptions (General Fund Payback)	\$ -	
Unexpended Funds Held By Claimant	\$ -	
		SUB-TOTAL \$ _____ 0
6. To Be Claimed By: (Fresno County Rural Transit Agency).....	\$ _____	
(Consolidated Transp. Serv. Agency)	\$ 712,901	
Other ().....	\$ _____	
Other ().....	\$ _____	
Other ().....	\$ _____	
		SUB-TOTAL \$ 712,901
7. Reserved in Fund Pending Further Claiming		SUB-TOTAL \$ _____ 0
	GRAND TOTAL	\$ 16,737,384
(Claim Total Must Agree With Total On First Page)		
Minus All Unexpended Funds Held By		\$ _____ 0
GRAND TOTAL PAYABLE TO CLAIMANT		\$ 16,737,384

Page 2

Date: 3/11/10

Claimant: City of Fresno

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

Two percent (2%) of the claimant's Local Transportation Fund apportionment must be spent on bicycle and pedestrian facilities (PUC 99233.3 and 99234); such claims are to be filed as Article 3. Claims for projects in excess of 2% may be filed as Article 8a (PUC 99400(a)). If other funding is to be used with Local Transportation Funds to implement projects, such funding should be shown on the claim form.

Project Title and Brief Description		Project Cost
1. (Generic)	Various Bicycle and Pedestrian facilities throughout the claimant's jurisdiction;	\$ <u>293,319</u>
AND/OR		
1. (Specific)		\$ _____
2. (Specific)		\$ _____
(add sheets if necessary)		
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS		\$ <u>293,319</u>

Revenue Source & Amount

LTF (Article 3)	\$ <u>293,319</u>
LTF (Article 8a)	\$ _____
Other:	\$ _____
Other:	\$ _____
Audit Exception - G.F. Payback ...	\$ _____

STREETS AND ROADS CLAIM

Local Transportation Funds coming to claimants within Fresno County may be used for streets and roads improvements and maintenance pursuant to Article 8 (PUC 99400), but only after Fresno COG makes a finding that public transportation needs within the claimant's jurisdiction are reasonably met by satisfying the service requirements set forth by the Regional Transportation Plan (PUC 99401.5).

Project Title and Brief Description		Project Cost
1. (Generic)	Development, Construction and Maintenance of Facilities.....	\$ _____
AND/OR		
1. (Specific)		\$ _____
2. (Specific)		\$ _____
(add sheets if necessary)		
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS		\$ _____

Revenue Source & Amount

LTF (Article 8a)	\$ _____
Other:	\$ _____
Other:	\$ _____
Audit Exception - G.F. Payback ...	\$ _____

Date: 3/11/10Claimant: City of Fresno

PUBLIC AND SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION SERVICE CLAIM CLAIM FOR OPERATING AND CAPITAL EXPENSES

Project Description**Project Cost****General Transportation Service (Proposed)** **TOTAL** \$ 45,158,700(Prior Year) \$ 47,308,900Percentage Change -4.5 %

(Information needed per PUC 99266, which states no moneys may be allocated in excess of 15% above preceeding year unless claim is accompanied by supporting documentation)

Revenue Source & Amounts	Operating	Capital
LTF (Article 4)	\$ 15,344,400	\$
LTF (Article 8)	\$ -	\$ -
STA	\$ 0	\$ 406,500
Fares	\$ 9,080,300	\$
Other: ..Current Year FTA/CMAQ Reimbursement.....	\$ 11,572,700	\$ 40,298,700
Other:	\$ 8,552,900	\$ 0
Unexpended, Held By Claimant	\$	\$
Audit Exception - G. F. Payback.....	\$	\$

Project Description**Project Cost****Elderly/Handicapped Service (Proposed)****TOTAL** \$ _____

(Prior Year) \$ _____

Percentage Change %

(Information needed per PUC 99266, which states no moneys may be allocated in excess of 15% above preceeding year unless claim is accompanied by supporting documentation)

Revenue Source & Amounts	Operating	Capital
LTF (Article 4)	\$	\$
LTF (Article 8)	\$	\$
STA	\$	\$
Fares	\$	\$
Other:	\$	\$
Other:	\$	\$
Unexpended, Held By Claimant	\$	\$
Audit Exception - G. F. Payback.....	\$	\$

Project Description**Project Cost****Consolidated Trans Service Agency (Prop.)****TOTAL** \$ _____

(Prior Year) \$ _____

Percentage Change %

(Information needed per PUC 99266, which states no moneys may be allocated in excess of 15% above preceeding year unless claim is accompanied by supporting documentation)

Revenue Source & Amounts	Operating	Capital
LTF (Article 4.5)	\$	\$
LTF (Article 8c)	\$	\$
STA	\$	\$
Fares	\$	\$
Other:	\$	\$
Other:	\$	\$
Unexpended, Held By Claimant	\$	\$
Audit Exception - G. F. Payback.....	\$	\$

Date: 3/11/10Claimant: City of Fresno

PUBLIC AND SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION SERVICE CLAIM SUMMARY SHEET

REQUIRED OPERATING/FAREBOX CALCULATIONS

General Transportation Services:

1. Total Operating Expenses Minus Exclusions*	\$ 45,158,700
(Amount Excluded \$ <u>0</u>)	
2. Farebox Revenues.....	\$ 9,080,300
3. Other Local Support.....	\$ 8,552,900
4. Total Local Support (add lines 2 & 3).....	\$ 17,633,200
5. Farebox Revenue/Operating Expense Ratio (divide line 2 by line 1)	% 20.10%
6. Required Minimum Ratio	% 20.0%
7. Total Local Support/Oper. Expense Ratio (divide line 4 by line 1).....	% 39.0%
8. Required Minimum Ratio	% 20.0%

Elderly/Handicapped Service:

1. Total Operating Expenses Minus Exclusions	\$	
(Amount Excluded \$	)	\$
2. Farebox Revenues	\$	
3. Other Local Support	\$	
4. Total Local Support (add lines 2 & 3)	\$	
5. Farebox Revenue/Operating Expense Ratio (divide line 2 by line 1)	%	
6. Required Minimum Ratio	%	
7. Total Local Support/Oper. Expense Ratio (divide line 4 by line 1)	%	
8. Required Minimum Ratio	%	

Consolidated Transportation Service Agency Service:

1. Total Operating Expenses Minus Exclusions	\$
(Amount Excluded (\$	)
2. Farebox Revenues.....	\$
3. Social Service Agency Match.....	\$
4. Total Local Support (add lines 2 & 3).....	\$
5. Farebox Revenue/Operating Expense Ratio (divide line 2 by line 1)	%
6. Required Minimum Ratio	%
7. Total Local Support/Oper. Expense Ratio (divide line 4 by line 1).....	%
8. Required Minimum Ratio	%

Summary Totals

1. Total of All Operating Expenses Plus Exclusions	\$ 45,158,700
(All excluded costs should be added back to the total)	
2. Total of All Capital Projects.....	\$
3. Grand Total.....	\$

Revenue Source and Amount

	LTF Art. 4	LTF Art. 4.5	LTF Art. 8c	STA	Local Support	Fed\State Grants	Other	TOTAL
OPERATING	15,344,400			0	17,633,200	11,572,700	608,400	45,158,700
CAPITAL	0			406,500	0	40,298,700	0	40,705,200
TOTAL	15,344,400			406,500	17,633,200	51,871,400	608,400	85,863,900

Date: 3/11/10

Claimant: City of Fresno

CONTINGENCY PROJECT LISTING

Should additional Local Transportation Fund or State Transit Assistance Fund monies be made available during the current fiscal year, they are hereby also claimed for the following purposes:

☐ **ARTICLE 3, BICYCLE & PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES**

Project Title & Brief Descriptions

Project Cost

1. Various Bicycle and Pedestrian facilities throughout *\$ Amount of Add'l funds made available in current FY.*
the claimant's jurisdiction

AND/OR

1. \$

(add sheets if necessary)

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS \$

☒ **ARTICLE 4, PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION**
OR
☐ **ARTICLE 8C, PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION**

Project Title & Brief Descriptions

Project Cost

1. \$

2. \$

(add sheets if necessary)

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS \$

☐ **ARTICLE 8, STREETS AND ROADS**

Project Title & Brief Descriptions

Project Cost

1. Development, Construction and Maintenance of Facilities *\$ Amount of Add'l funds made available in current FY.*
throughout the claimant's jurisdiction

AND/OR

1. \$

(add sheets if necessary)

TOTAL PROJECT COSTS \$

Date: 3/11/10

Claimant: City of Fresno

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION REQUIRED OF TRANSIT CLAIMANTS
(CCR 6632)**

Attached to the claim are submitted the following documents:

- ☒ Budget (or proposed budget) for the (2010) year
- ☒ Statement for prior year revenues and expenditures (projections acceptable)
- ☒ California Highway Patrol Certification pursuant to PUC 99251 (No claim may be approved unless accompanied by this certification). Date on this certification must be within 13 months of the proposed claim approval date.
- ☐ Transit Efficiency Calculation - PUC 99314.6