

Summary of SB 716

- Section 99232.1(a) Counties > 500,000 as of the 2000 federal census are divided into urbanized and rural sections.
- There is no provision for Counties falling under section 99232.1(a) to apportion 99234 Art. 3 Bike & Ped .
- Section 99232.1(b) seeks to postpone the change til July 1, 2013 however it doesn't unless the reference to the 2010 decennial census is changed to 2000.
- Urbanized areas consist of cities of 50,000 or more population, effecting Fresno and Clovis.
- Urbanized areas can only apportion for Art. 4 Transit & Art. 4.5 Specialized Transit. No provision is made for Art. 3 Bike & Ped.
- Nonurbanized areas can only apportion Art. 4 Transit, Art. 4.5 Specialized Transit, and Art 8 (Streets & Farmworker VanPool). No provision is made for Art. 3 Bike & Ped.
- Using federally designated Urbanized/Nonurbanized federal decennial census areas is ambiguous to 99231 definitions for areas of apportionment resulting in the criteria to determine an areas eligibility differing from the formula used to apportion the funds.
- 99400(f) makes capital and lease cost for Farmworker Vanpools eligible for claims after the Unmet Transit Needs have been met and on equal priority with 99400(a) Streets and Roads.
- 99401.5 requires Farmworker Vanpools to be considered in the Unmet Needs public hearing.

Section 99232 Amendment 1 strikes out any change to 99232 leaving the original 1970 exemption in place.

Section 99232.1 is added that changes the threshold in 99232 from the 1970 federal decennial census to 2000 with an exception, an attempts to make the change effective in 2013.

In Brief

99232.1 (a) "each county with a population of 500,000 or more as of the 2000 federal decennial census, the apportionment to the areas within the urbanized areas of the county, as defined for purposes of the 2000 federal decennial census, shall be available solely for claims for Article 4 (99260) and Article 4.5 (99275). "

"In a county subject to this section, the apportionment outside the urbanized area of the county may be used for claims of Article 4 (99260), Article 4.5(99275) and Article 8(99400), providing the allocations under Article 8 shall be subject to the unmet needs process of 99401.5

99232.1 (b) "For a county that becomes subject to this section as a result of the 2010 decennial census, this section shall not apply to that county until July 1, 2013. "

Analysis

The 99232 states "the amount representing the apportionments of areas of all operators shall be available solely for claims for Section 99234 (Art. 3 Bike & Ped), Article 4 (Transit 99260), and Article 4.5 (SS Transit 99275). The added section 99232.1 makes no mention of 99234 Art. 3 Bike & Ped so apportionments. This would require we either change our methodology for allocating Art. 3 from the apportionment criteria defined in 99231 to a discretionary lump sum off the top per 99234(d) or make a finding that the dollars can be better used in other eligible articles per 99233.3.

The federal definition of Urbanized Area (UZA)

An Urbanized Area is a statistical geographic entity designated by the Census Bureau, consisting of a central core and adjacent densely settled territory that together contain at least 50,000 people, generally with an overall population density of at least 1,000 people per square mile.

Using federally designated Urbanized/Nonurbanized federal decennial census areas to determine eligibility is ambiguous to 99231 definitions for areas of apportionment resulting in the criteria to determine an area's eligibility differing from the formula used to apportion the funds. In Fresno County's case the Urbanized area goes beyond Fresno and Clovis's adopted city limits to include parts of the unincorporated city limits and also included unincorporated county islands within the city limits. Practically speaking, it may be simple to determine eligibility by the decennial census and use the annual Dept. of Finance population numbers to do the actual apportionment however there is always the outside possibility of some advocacy group in the unincorporated sphere mounting a technical challenge to the annual apportionment finding. Reconciling the 99231 definitions of areas of apportionment with methodology used by the Census Bureau to calculate Urbanized/Nonurbanized areas is presently impossible on an annual basis.

Section 99400

Adds

"(f) Acquisition or lease of vans and related equipment, but not for operating costs, for a farmworker vanpool program for purposes of farmworker transportation to and from work."

Analysis

99400(a) eligible purpose's (a) through (f) with (a) being Streets and (f) being Farmworker Vanpool. The statute is mute on the prioritization of (a) through now (f) therefore Streets and Farmworker Vanpool priority is determined by the local agency however 99401.5 gives Farmworker Vanpool a higher public visibility.

Sect. 99401.5

Language added in brief

"Prior to making any allocation for purposes for purposes of subdivision (f) of Section 99400, the transportation planning agency shall annually do all the following."

"(b)(4) an analysis of the need to acquire or lease vans and related equipment for a farmworker vanpool program pursuant ot subdivision (f) of Section 99400."

"(f) The transportation planning agency shall not allocate funds for purposes of subdivision (f) of Section 99400 until all of the capital and operating funds necessary to meet the unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet are allocated. The transportation planning agency shall not reduce funding to existing public transportation services, specialized transportation service, or facilities for the exclusive use of pedestrians and bicycles in order to allocate funds for purposes of subdivision (f) of Section 99400."

Analysis

Claims for Farmworker vanpool must now be considered in the unmet needs process, however they cannot inroach on the budgeted needs for Art. 4 Transit, Article 3 Bike & Ped, or Article 4.5 SS Transit. There is no language to imply that Farmworker vanpool is now on a higher priority than Streets and Roads except for the fact that Farmworker vanpool must be publicly addressed in the unmet need finding.

Draft General/Specific Plan Update Schedule Matrix (Future Dates are Estimated)

	Land Use Element			Circulation Element			Housing Element			Notes:
	Last Full Adoption	Next Draft Public Review	Next Final Adoption	Last Full Adoption	Next Draft Public Review	Next Final Adoption	Last Full Adoption	Next Draft Public Review	Next Final Adoption	
Jurisdiction - Plan										
Clovis	1993			1993			2002			
Coalinga	1994			1994			2003			
Firebaugh	1992			1992			2002			
Fowler	2004			2004			2001			
Fresno	2002			2002			2002			
Huron	2007			2007			2005			
Kerman	2007			2007			1992			
Kingsburg	1997			1992			2002			
Mendota	1991			1991			2004			
Orange Cove	2002			2002			2003			
Parlier	1998			1998			2001			
Reedley	1993			1993			2003			
Sanger	2003			2003			2006			
San Joaquin	1996			1996			2003			
Selma	1998			1998			1993			
Fresno County	2000			2000			2003			

Summary of Air District Rule 9410-Employer-based Trip Reduction

Focus on cost of compliance

Prepared 7/8/09 by Lauren Dawson

Attachments: Rule Summary, Employee Survey Example

Rule Requires Employers with over 100 employees to:

7/1/2010 Register with the District (just tell the District number of employees, name of business/phone/address/the name of the coordinator of the program) one page of info.

9/1/2010 Submit to District the Employer Trip Reduction Plan- they can pick from a menu of options with point values such as: having a rideshare bulletin board, providing preferential parking for rideshare, sending employer rideshare newsletter, flex schedules, various incentives (monetary, transit subsidy, free meal promo for participation), onsite food service to reduce lunch time trips, bike racks, onsite ATM-postal service-dry cleaning pick-up service. Many more options available

1/1/2011 Implement Employer Trip Reduction Plan

1/1/2022 Beginning, and twice a year, do an Employee Transportation Survey – a one page questionnaire of each employee asking info for one weeks worth of travel to work: basically how did you get to work Monday, Tuesday etc. Please see attached presentation slides of survey example.

3/31/2012 Beginning and annually afterward, send the District a report of the previous year's Employee Transportation Surveys.

District requires employers to keep survey records for 5 years.

Summary of Rule:

Basically the Rule is that the employer needs to make available to their employees a Trip-reduction program of options. There is no target participation level required.

Compliance: Employer will be in violation of Rule if they do not register, or do not provide Trip-reduction program. District may then file a "notice of violation" on the employer-with some associated fine-no details available.

Formal Economic Analysis of Rule: Will be done. District is accepting comments and wants information regarding what employers think the rule will cost them to implement.

September 2009 will host another workshop-with opportunity for comment.

Adoption anticipated December 2009.

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Rule 9410, Employer Based Trip Reduction

Rule 9410 would require larger employers to establish employee trip reduction programs. These programs are designed to encourage employees to reduce single-occupancy vehicle trips, thus reducing pollutant emissions associated with work commutes.

Applicability

This rule will apply to employers with 100+ eligible employees at one worksite, reporting to work between 6am-10am. Some employees may be excluded from being counted for this rule, such as:

- Part-time, seasonal, and temporary employees
- Agricultural workers, field personnel, and construction workers
- Independent contractors and volunteers
- Medically excused employees
- Emergency health and safety employees

Employer Trip Reduction Implementation Plan

The ETRIP takes a menu-based approach, allowing employers to decide which menu options work best for their operations, employees, and existing programs. The employer's ETRIP would include enough features to meet specified point targets. The goal of the ETRIP is to provide employees with opportunities that make ridesharing and alternative transportation more accessible while not requiring employers to guarantee a certain number of participants.

Draft Rule Requirements

Three distinct components:

1. Employer registration
2. Employer Trip Reduction Implementation Plan (ETRIP)
3. Employee Surveys

Surveys and ETRIPs will be reported to the District annually.

ETRIP Point Targets	Tier One Employer	Tier Two Employer
Number of eligible employees for Tier	100 – 249	250 or more
Total Points Goal	44	66
Minimum points per strategy		
Marketing Strategy	6	10
Implementation Strategy	8	10
Transportation and Alternative Schedule Strategy	10	15
Incentives Strategy	4	9
Services and Amenities Strategy	6	10
Additional Points Needed (from any measure or combination of measures)	10	12

Employee Surveys

Employers would survey their employees about their commute modes during a survey week, semiannually. The results are for informational purposes, to help the employer and the District determine how successful the ETRIP is in facilitating alternative transportation and ridesharing. **There is no target participation level associated with the survey results.**

Reporting

Employers will submit a brief Annual Report to the District providing the results of the surveys and a list of measures included in the ETRIP. Web reporting will be available.

Survey Example

(Slide 1 of 2)

Transportation Mode	Code
Public transit	A
Pedestrian, Bicycle, Electric Vehicle	
Telecommute	
Compressed schedule non-work day	
Vanpool (at least 6 people)	B
Carpool – 4+ people	C
Carpool – 3 people	D
Carpool – 2 people	E
Single occupant vehicle (drive alone)	F
Motorcycle, moped, gas scooter/bike	

Survey Example

(Slide 2 of 2)

Day	Worked* (Y/N)	Arrived at work by (Choose code A-F)	Left work by (Choose code A-F)
Monday			
Tuesday			
Wednesday			
Thursday			
Friday			

*Enter "Y" for telecommute days and compressed schedule non-work days

2008/09

COUNCIL OF FRESNO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS
Transportation Development Act

Article 4 & 8 Apportionment

Revised Estimate

05/26/09

MEMBER	Total		Clovis		FAX		FCRTA		Net Available Article 8	Original Claims Article 8	Reduction Article 8		FAX Reduction Article 4		Over Allocated Article 8	
	Art. 4 & 8 Available	Public Transit	Public Transit	Transit	Transit	Transit	Transit	Transit			Article 8	Article 8	Article 4	Article 4	Article 8	Article 8
Clovis	2,842,310		1,860,151		211,031				771,128	1,126,086	(354,958)					0
Coalinga	625,817							81,200	544,617	607,683	(63,066)					
Firebaugh	205,388							100,836	104,552	182,509	(77,957)					
Fowler	167,852							86,833	81,019	144,979	(63,960)					(8,924)
Fresno City	14,926,690				14,926,690				0				(2,859,085)			
Huron	227,871							116,626	111,245	197,594	(86,349)					
Kerman	418,461							182,602	235,859	394,762	(158,903)					
Kingsburg	339,627							141,593	198,034	326,710	(128,676)					(20,566)
Mendota	294,950							200,653	94,297	206,517	(112,220)					
Orange Cove	324,883							184,447	140,436	263,789	(123,353)					
Parlier	401,772							120,642	281,130	424,769	(143,639)					
Reedley	771,247							467,271	303,976	597,090	(293,114)					
Sanger	755,611							311,137	444,474	730,849	(286,375)					
San Joaquin	135,682							65,783	69,899	122,298	(52,399)					
Selma	702,461							477,693	224,768	490,964	(266,196)					
Fresno Co.	5,256,922		30,027		1,654,764			569,190	3,002,941	4,993,894	(1,990,953)					(442,681)
FCRTA	13,185							13,185	0							
TOTALS	28,410,729		1,890,178		16,792,485			3,119,691	6,608,375	10,810,493	(4,202,118)		(2,859,085)			(472,171)

Federal Transit Administration Section 5307 Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AQ Lead	Description Total Escalated Cost Status	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)						Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)
				Four Year Element						
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	
FRE021510 AGENCY/ES071A 2.05 Fresno Area Express (FAX)	Passenger shelters/structures, benches, trash receptacles and lighting; On-street signs; Bus stop repairs; and miscellaneous amenities to benefit transit passengers	PE RW Const	200,000	4,750,000						Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 8 - 05/04/2009 ***** ARRA Project ***** Version 7 - 03/02/2009 ***** ITC 8.00
	\$ 4,950,000									Prior 40,000 Current 180,000 4,750,000
	FTIP Amend 9.00	Total	200,000	4,750,000						

Local Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AQ Lead	Description Total Escalated Cost Status	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)						Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)
				Four Year Element						
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	
FRE082602 AGENCY 2.08 Fresno Area Express (FAX)	Engineer and remodel main FAX operations / administration building to meet current capacity needs and ADA requirements.	PE RW Const			2,500,000					Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 2 - 05/04/2009 ***** ***** Version 1 - 03/02/2009 *****
	\$ 2,500,000				2,500,000					Prior
	FTIP Amend 9.00	Total			2,500,000					Current 2,500,000
										New Project
FRE082524 PTMISEA 2.08 Fresno Area Express (FAX)	Purchase and remodel FAX Handy Ride facility to meet current capacity needs and ADA requirements.	PE RW Const								***** Version 1 - 05/05/2009 *****
	\$ 3,200,000				3,200,000					Prior
	FTIP Amend 9.00	Total			3,200,000					Current 3,200,000

Federal Transit Administration Section 5310 Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AO Lead	Description	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)							Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)		
				Four Year Element									
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14				
FRE091802 AGENCY/6310 2.02 Fresno Area Express (FAX)	Purchase two (SE) medium buses type III (fuel CHG), three mobile data terminals. FTP Amend 8.00	PE RW Const Total		209,300							New Project ***** Version 1 - 05/05/2009 *****		
				209,300							Prior Current	24,000	185,300
											New Project		
				***** Version 1 - 05/05/2009 *****									
FRE091801 AGENCY/6310 2.02 Fresno County Economic	Purchase five (R) large buses type III FTP Amend 8.00	PE RW Const Total		325,000							Prior Current	37,300	287,700
				325,000									

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program (Non-transit)

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AQ Lead	Description	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)					Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)	
				Four Year Element						
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13		13/14
	Total Escalated Cost									
	Status									
FRE020105	In Clovis - Lump-Sum Traffic Flow Improvements. Consistent with 40 CFR part 83.126, 127, 128, exempt tables 283	PE RW Const	59,200 475,000		475,900				Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 7 - 08/08/2009 ***** ITC 6.00 ***** Version 6 - 04/14/2008 ***** ***** Version 5 - 05/15/2008 *****	472,900 421,300
CITY/CMAQ 5.01 Clovis, City of	\$ 1,010,100 FTIP Amend 10.00	Total	534,200		475,900				Prior Current	61,300 54,600
FRE020106	In Clovis - Lump-Sum Traffic Signal Synchronization. Consistent with 40 CFR part 83.126, 127, 128, exempt tables 283. ITS Project.	PE RW Const	136,100	87,400		65,300	746,700		Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 8 - 06/12/2009 ***** Combined with FRE090105 ITC 6.00 ***** Version 7 - 05/05/2008 *****	120,500 788,300
CITY/CMAQ 5.07 Clovis, City of	\$ 1,035,500 FTIP Amend 10.00	Total	136,100	87,400		65,300	746,700		Prior Current	15,600 103,100
FRE090105	Installation of Temperature/ Sierra Traffic Signal.	PE RW Const	1						Split/Combined ***** Version 2 - 06/12/2009 ***** ITC 6.00 ***** Version 1 - 05/09/2008 *****	1
CMAQ 5.02 Clovis, City of	\$ 1 FTIP Amend 10.00	Total	1						Prior Current	1 1
FRE040113	Construct sidewalks along 10th Ave. (Academy Ave.) from Sierra St. (SR 201) to Stroud Ave.	PE RW Const	8,800 73,300	47,000					Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 4 - 08/08/2009 ***** ***** Version 3 - 03/19/2009 ***** ***** Version 2 - 05/16/2008 ***** ***** Version 1 - 03/22/2005 *****	72,700
CITY/CMAQ 3.02 Kingsburg, City of	\$ 129,100 FTIP Amend 10.00	Total	82,100	47,000					Prior Current	9,400 47,000
FRE070113	Construct pedestrian facilities along Sierra Street at the UPRR track crossing near Simpson Street. Improvements include sidewalks, curb ramps and track platform improvements.	PE RW Const	14,300	305,884					Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 4 - 08/04/2009 ***** ***** Version 3 - 03/19/2009 ***** ITC 6.00 ***** Version 2 - 05/05/2008 *****	12,700 284,884
CITY/CMAQ/AR-I 3.02 Kingsburg, City of	\$ 320,184 FTIP Amend 10.00	Total	14,300	305,884					Prior Current	1,600 11,000

Amendment #10

[illegible][illegible]

STIP - Interregional Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AG Lead	Description	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)						Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)
				Four Year Element						
				08/08	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	
99 41.353.9 FRED71201 08-32450K ST-CASH 0.00 Caltrans	Route 201 in Fresno County (PM 0.0/ R1.2): widen 4-lane to 6-lane freeway. \$ 1,000 FTIP Amend 10.00	PE RW Const Total	1,000 1,000							Cost/Scope/Sch. Change Information only. See comment below. ***** Version 2 - 05/27/2009 ***** Same as Tulare Co. project 11500000083. All funds listed in Tulare project. \$1000 listed in Prior Current 1,000

STIP / Regional Choice

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AG AQ Lead	Description	Status	Total Escalated Cost	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)						Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)
						Four Year Element						
						08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	
180 R65.6/R71.6 FREQ21108 06-342510 ST-CASH/ME/A 0.00 Caltrans	Near Centerville, from Academy Avenue (Quality). Construct 4 lane expressway on existing alignment. \$ 68,768,111 FTP Amend 10.00	10300000176		PE RW Const Total	3,012,000 22,059,000 25,071,000	1,400,000 1,800,000 41,498,111 44,698,111					Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 9 - 06/12/2009 ***** ***** Version 8 - 03/13/2009 ***** ***** Version 7 - 08/22/2008 ***** ***** Version 6 - 08/18/08 ***** Prior 25,071,000 Current 23,130,739 3,200,000 18,387,372	

Transportation Enhancement Activities Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist-FA Fund AQ Lead	Description	Phase	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)							Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)		
			Prior Years	Four Year Element						Local	State	Federal
				08/08	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14			
	Total Escalated Cost											
	Status											
FRE071812 CO/ARRA-TE 4.12 Fresno County	Plant Palm Trees, Kearney Blvd. between Marks and Westlawn Aves. \$ 647,200 FTIP Amend 10.00 20300000457	PE RW Const Total		53,100	584,100	584,100				Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 3 - 06/08/2009 ***** ITC 6.00 ***** Version 2 - 05/13/2008 ***** ***** Version 1 - 07/12/2006 ***** Prior Current 69,898 577,302		
FRE071805 CTT/ARRA-TE 4.12 Fresno, City of	Median Island Landscaping, Clovis Ave. Between Kings Canyon and McKinley Aves. \$ 485,700 FTIP Amend 10.00 20300000459	PE RW Const Total			14,900	470,800				Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 3 - 08/04/2009 ***** ITC 6.00 ***** Version 2 - 05/13/2008 ***** ***** Version 1 - 07/12/2006 ***** Prior Current 51,388 434,312		
FRE041817 08-4C1864 CTT/ARRA-TE 4.12 Kingsburg, City of	In the City of Kingsburg, on 18th Avenue, from Kamm Ave to Solig Avenue. Construct median island with landscaping and irrigation. \$ 157,893 FTIP Amend 10.00 10300000222	PE RW Const Total	16,800	141,063						Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 5 - 06/04/2009 ***** ***** Version 4 - 09/18/2009 ***** ***** Version 3 - 08/22/2008 ***** ***** Version 2 - 05/13/2008 ***** Prior Current 1,600 15,700 15,000 125,363		
FRE041820 08-4C2184 CO/STPES 4.12 USDA Forest Service	Along the San Joaquin River from the Karchhoff Reservoir to the Upper Redlinger Reservoir. Construct multi-modal trail. \$ 537,000 FTIP Amend 10.00 10300000231	PE RW Const Total	40,000	11,300	485,700					Other (Explain ==>) ***** Version 3 - 05/27/2009 ***** ***** Version 2 - 05/19/2008 ***** ITC 6.00 ***** Version 1 - 07/05/08 ***** Prior Current 5,000 57,000 35,000 440,000		

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AG AQ Lead	Description	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)						Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)
				Four Year Element						
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	
	Total Escalated Cost									
	Status									
FRE070203 CITY/DEMO-ST 5.02 Fresno, City of	State Route 41 Off Ramp at O Street. Widen off-ramp from 2 to 4 lanes at intersection with O Street. Signalize ramp intersection.	PE							Cost/Scope/Sch. Change	
		RW							***** Version 2 - 08/24/2009 ***** HR 3058 Earmark- ID# CA 787	
	\$ 1,500,000 FTIP Amend 11.00 20300000487	Const	1,500,000						***** Version 1 - 08/28/2008 *****	
		Total	1,500,000						Prior	
									Current	15,000 1,485,000

Regional Surface Transportation Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist/EA Fund AG Lead	Description Total Escalated Cost Status	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)						Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)
				Four Year Element						
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	
33	In Coalinga: Beautification Project--Install New or Reconstruct Failed Curb, Gutter, and Sidewalks. Provide New Landscaping and Irrigation Facilities and Pedestrian Facilities. \$ 603,800 FTIP Amend 11.00 203000000281	PE RW Const Total	146,800 457,000 603,800							Delete Project ***** Version 9 - 08/25/2009 ***** ***** Version 8 - 03/18/2009 ***** ***** Version 7 - 03/07/2008 ***** ***** Version 6 - 01/16/2008 ***** Prior 69,200 534,600 Current
FRE020850 CITY/STPL 3.02 Coalinga, City of										Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 7 - 08/28/2009 ***** ***** Version 6 - 05/22/2008 ***** ***** Version 5 - 05/04/2008 ***** ***** Version 4 - 03/25/2005 *****
FRE020810 CITY/STPL 1.10 Fowler, City of	In Fowler - Lump-Sum A/C Overlays On Various Eligible Routes (No Additional Travel Lanes) and Provide Curb, Gutter, and Sidewalks. Consistent with 40 CFR part 93.128, 127, 128, exempt tables \$ 287,000 FTIP Amend 11.00 203000000233	PE RW Const Total	15,000 136,000 151,000			136,000				Prior 17,300 133,700 Current 15,800 120,400

130 - Railroad Grade Crossing Protections Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AQ Lead	Description	Phase	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)							Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)		
			Prior Years	Four Year Element								
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14			
FRE07/0701	Lump Sum 130-Railroad Grade Crossing Protections Program. Consistent with 40 CFR part 83.126, 127, 128, exempt tables 28.3.	PE RW Const	3,000,000	5,710,189							Cost/Scope/Sch. Change	
										***** Version 7 - 08/25/2008 *****		
										***** Version 6 - 10/03/2008 *****		
										***** Version 5 - 04/24/2008 *****		
STPR-L 1.01	\$ 8,710,189										Prior	3,000,000
Caltrans	FTIP Amend 11.00	Total	3,000,000	5,710,189							Current	5,710,189

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AQ Lead	Description	Phase	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)							Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)			
			Prior Years	Four Year Element									
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14				
FRE092817	Cherry & Elm Ave-Intersection will be re-aligned for future signalization, including work on side walk, curb & gutter, valley gutter, pavement, restriping, Streetlight, pole and luminaire installed	PE RW Const	369,500	369,500							New Project ***** Version 1 - 08/25/2008 *****		
AGENCY/AR-RS 5.03	\$ 369,500										Prior		
Coalinga, City of	FTIP Amend 11.00	Total		369,500							Current	14,073	355,427
FRE092811	Street improvements from Los Angeles to Lassen Ave, Overlay existing pavement and construct new to widen narrow pavement.	PE RW Const	40,100	317,300							Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 2 - 08/29/2008 ***** ***** Version 1 - 03/18/2008 *****		
AGENCY/AR-RS 1.10	\$ 357,400										Prior		
Huron, City of	FTIP Amend 11.00	Total		357,400							Current	187,400	160,000

State Highway Operations and Protection Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AQ Lead	Description Total Escalated Cost Status	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)						Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)	
				Four Year Element							
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14		
FRE071010 ST-CASH/SHOPI 4.01 Caltrans	Lump-Sum "Collision Reduction" Category SHOPP: Non-capacity increasing projects roadside rehabilitation. Consistent with 40 CFR part 83.126, 127, 128, exempt tables 283. \$ 18,403,000 FTP Amend 11.00	PE RW Const Total		988,000	5,370,000	5,024,000	7,021,000			Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 5 - 08/25/2009 ***** ***** Version 4 - 11/03/2008 ***** ITC 6.00 ***** Version 3 - 05/14/2008 ***** Prior Current	18,403,000
FRE081001 ST-CASH/SHOPI 1.10 Caltrans	Lump-Sum "Mandates" Category SHOPP: Non-capacity increasing projects roadside rehabilitation. Consistent with 40 CFR part 83.126, 127, 128, exempt tables 283. \$ 7,504,000 FTP Amend 11.00	PE RW Const Total				2,464,000	5,040,000			Cost/Scope/Sch. Change ***** Version 2 - 08/25/2009 ***** ***** Version 1 - 05/14/2008 ***** ITC 6.00 Prior Current	7,504,000

Transportation Enhancement Activities Program

Route Postmile PIN Dist-EA Fund AQ Lead	Description Total Escalated Cost Status	Phase	Prior Years	Program Schedule (Construction costs escalated per Caltrans percentage)						Change Description Project Comments Funding Summary (Current & Prior Years)
				Four Year Element						
				08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	
FRE041813 08-4C1884 CITY/STPE-S 4.12 Clovis, City of	median island, landscaping & irrigation, sidewalk, curb & gutter, & ADA improvements Ashlan Avenue, b/w Whittier & Temperance Aves, & on Armstrong Ave., south of Ashlan Ave to the Gould \$ 485,300 FTP Amend 11.00	PE	149,000							Cost/Scope/Sch. Change
		RW								***** Version 3 - 08/29/2009 *****
		Const								***** Version 2 - 05/13/2008 *****
										ITC 6.00
										***** Version 1 - 07/05/08 *****
		Total	149,000		316,300					Prior 132,000 17,000
					316,300					Current 96,300 280,000

**San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Rule 9410, Employer Based Trip Reduction**

Rule 9410 would require larger employers to establish employee trip reduction programs. These programs are designed to encourage employees to reduce single-occupancy vehicle trips, thus reducing pollutant emissions associated with work commutes.

Applicability

This rule will apply to employers with 100+ eligible employees at one worksite, reporting to work between 6am-10am. Some employees may be excluded from being counted for this rule, such as:

- Part-time, seasonal, and temporary employees
- Agricultural workers, field personnel, and construction workers
- Independent contractors and volunteers
- Medically excused employees
- Emergency health and safety employees

Draft Rule Requirements

Three distinct components:

- 1. Employer registration**
- 2. Employer Trip Reduction Implementation Plan (ETRIP)**
- 3. Employee Surveys**

Surveys and ETRIPs will be reported to the District annually.

Employer Trip Reduction Implementation Plan

The ETRIP takes a menu-based approach, allowing employers to decide which menu options work best for their operations, employees, and existing programs. The employer's ETRIP would include enough features to meet specified point targets. The goal of the ETRIP is to provide employees with opportunities that make ridesharing and alternative transportation more accessible while not requiring employers to guarantee a certain number of participants.

ETRIP Point Targets	Tier One Employer	Tier Two Employer
Number of eligible employees for Tier	100 – 249	250 or more
Total Points Goal	44	66
Minimum points per strategy		
Marketing Strategy	6	10
Implementation Strategy	8	10
Transportation and Alternative Schedule Strategy	10	15
Incentives Strategy	4	9
Services and Amenities Strategy	6	10
<i>Additional Points Needed (from any measure or combination of measures)</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>12</i>

Employee Surveys

Employers would survey their employees about their commute modes during a survey week, semiannually. The results are for informational purposes, to help the employer and the District determine how successful the ETRIP is in facilitating alternative transportation and ridesharing. **There is no target participation level associated with the survey results.**

Reporting

Employers will submit a brief Annual Report to the District providing the results of the surveys and a list of measures included in the ETRIP. Web reporting will be available.

Tree Fresno
Grant Information Sheet



Name of City

Street

City

Zip code

Contact Person

Phone

Email

Name of Project

Type of Project

Estimated Planting Dates

Please attach planting and irrigation plans, if available.

Other applicable information

Kindly forward this form to Tree Fresno
776 E. Shaw Ave., Ste. 102, Fresno, CA 93710
www.treefresno.org ronn@treefresno.org



TREE FRESNO

Fresno County Council of Governments

July 10, 2009

1. Tree Fresno In Brief

- Tree Fresno is a non-profit 501C3, grassroots organization that works with public and private agencies to improve the quality of life for residents in the San Joaquin Valley. Through the support of volunteers, Tree Fresno has planted 35,857 trees since it was founded in 1985.
- **Mission:** "Enrich the quality of life in the Fresno region with the addition of trees and trails."
- **Vision:** "Make the San Joaquin Valley the most livable region in California."

2. Collaborative Grant Opportunities

- Tree Fresno has grant sources and writers available to fund tree planting and trail building projects for your public areas.
- Cities are asked to send Tree Fresno information about your needs, projects in progress, construction timelines, and key specifications including landscape and irrigation system plans. Tree Fresno wants to help make your projects happen!

3. Memorable Projects

- 1990 – McKinley Avenue: 500 Trees
- 1993-94 – Blackstone Avenue: 939 Trees & 19,300 Shrubs
- 2000 – Great Rail Trail: 4,422 Trees with 2,800 Volunteers in Four Hours
- 2002 – Rail Trail Phase II: 2,694 Trees
- 2001 – Reedley Bike Way Trail Phase II: 183 Trees

4. Recent Projects in Fresno County

- City of Clovis Dry Creek Park (October, 2008): 109 Trees
- City of Fresno Downtown Tree Planting (November, 2008): 35 Trees
- City of Mendota Veteran's Day Park (April, 2009): 25 Trees
- Friant Road Oak Reforestation Project (2007-2012): 800 Trees
- Annual Trees for Campuses & Kids Program (20+ Schools, 100+ Trees)

5. Projects on the Drawing Board

- City of Kingsburg Golden State Corridor Trail: 2 Miles and 500 Trees
- City of Orange Cove Streetscape: 150 Trees
- Sanger School Campuses: 50 Trees



TREES FOR CAMPUSES AND KIDS 2009-2010 GRANT APPLICATION

MAIL TO:

TREE FRESNO

776 E. SHAW AVE., STE. 102

FRESNO, CA 93710


ATTN: PROJECTS DIRECTOR

P: 559-221-5556

F: 559-226-0979

WWW.TREEFRESNO.ORG

RONN@TREEFRESNO.ORG



2009-2010 TREES FOR CAMPUSES AND KIDS GRANT APPLICATION

INTRODUCTION

For twenty-four years Tree Fresno's mission has been to "enrich the quality of life in the Fresno region with the addition of trees and trails." Tree Fresno promotes environmental stewardship through education and community involvement.

Partnerships with community organizations and generous funding from Pacific Gas & Electric, Kaiser Permanente, and S.C. Johnson, A Family Company enable Tree Fresno to offer schools throughout the Fresno region the opportunity to apply for the Trees for Campuses and Kids program. This is a grant for school campuses to receive five shade trees and an educational hands-on environmentally oriented workshop for students.

ELIGIBILITY

Tree Fresno will consider the applications submitted by elementary, junior high, or high school campus (private or public) located within Fresno, Madera, Tulare or Kings Counties. Awards will be granted to those applicants that successfully demonstrate their commitment to the environment and student participation. There must be a **fully operational** irrigation system to insure the best possible survival of the trees.

APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Applicant must receive the school principal's permission to plant on campus.

- ☐ Site locations and tree species **MUST** be approved by the on-campus/school district grounds keeping or maintenance staff.
- If you are FUSD, please fax a campus map with sites and tree species to Rudy Elizalde at (559) 457-3138 or call directly at (559) 457-3181.
- If you are CUSD, please fax a campus map with sites and tree species to Stan Kawaguchi at (559) 327-9299 or call directly at (559) 352-0592.
- ☐ Applicant **MUST** arrange to have holes dug (18-inches deep, 18-inches wide) prior to the planting event. The topsoil removed from the hole should be left around the hole for use as backfill and irrigation birm.
- ☐ A map of the proposed tree sites on your campus **MUST** be attached to the application, please consider high traffic playing fields and availability of water when making the site and tree selections. We need to know where we can meet as well as entry gate for our truck, please also put this on the map.
- ☐ *If awarded, you are required to send separate thank you notes to each sponsor of the Trees for Campuses and Kids Program. The 2009-2010 Sponsors are **Kaiser Permanente, PG&E and S. C. Johnson**. Please mail letters to Tree Fresno, 776 E. Shaw Ave., #102, Fresno, CA 93710.
- ☐ **Application Submission Deadline:**
For Fall planting – application deadline is **October 15, 2009**
For Spring planting – application deadline is **February 15, 2010**

Failure to comply with any of the Eligibility and Application requirements may result with the cancellation of the planting.

SUGGESTED TREE LIST

- Chinese Pistache (*Pistacia chinensis*)
- Chinese Elm (*Ulmus parvifolia*)
- American Ash (*Fraxinus americana*)
- Raywood Ash (*Fraxinus oxycarpa*)
- Camphor (*Cinnamomum camphora*)
- Silver Maple (*Acer saccharinum*)
- Atlas Cedar (*Cedrus atlantica*)
- Flowering Plum (*Prunus cerasifera*)
- Tulip Tree (*Liriodendron tulipifera*)
- Canary Island, Mondell, or Aleppo Pine (*Pinus sp.*)
- Bradford Pear (*Pyrus calleryana*)
- Fruitless Sweet-Gum (*Liquidambar rotundaloba*)
- Valley or Southern Live Oak (*Quercus sp.*)
- Deodar Cedar (*Cedrus deodara*)

**2009-2010 TREES FOR CAMPUSES AND KIDS
GRANT APPLICATION**

CONTACT INFORMATION

School Name and District

Address

City, St. Zip

Phone

Fax

Email

Contact Person and Title

Phone #

Email

PRINCIPAL PERMISSION

I, _____ Principal of _____ hereby permit Tree Fresno to plant trees on the school campus.

Principal Name

Signature

Date

GROUNDS KEEPER SITE APPROVAL

I, _____ Grounds Keeper of _____ hereby give my approval to Tree Fresno to plant trees on sites picked by me.

Groundskeeper Name

Signature

DATE

CLASSROOM DEMOGRAPHICS

Number of students participating in the planting _____ Grade level(s) _____

ASSEMBLY AND PLANTING SCHEDULE

The Trees for Campuses and Kids program provides a hands-on, age appropriate 30 minute assembly. We will need a total of two hours to do the assembly and the planting, so we ask for you to plan accordingly. School plantings will be scheduled on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Thursdays, and Fridays between the hours of 8:30 am and 2:30 pm. Please suggest four dates and times for the planting:

- | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1. _____ Time: _____ | 3. _____ Time: _____ |
| 2. _____ Time: _____ | 4. _____ Time: _____ |

TREE SELECTION

Please make your tree selection from the provided list. You may choose to have the same variety for all five trees, or select five unique species for your campus. Should you wish to plant any species that is not listed below, please contact us directly to discuss your options?

- | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 1. _____ | 3. _____ | 5. _____ |
| 2. _____ | 4. _____ | |

**2009-2010 TREES FOR CAMPUSES AND KIDS
GRANT APPLICATION**

QUESTIONNAIRE

Please answer the following questions. All questions must be answered completely to be considered for an award. You may attach a separate sheet if necessary.

1. What makes your campus unique?
2. Why should your campus be selected as a recipient of the Trees for Campuses and Kids program?
3. How will the students be involved in the planting of these trees?
4. If students will be involved in the care of these trees, please note the process by which this will occur.
5. Describe any activities that you plan to incorporate to this planting, such as a ceremony, awards presentation, proclamation, honoring of a student or teacher. (Note: this is not required to be considered for the grant.)
6. Please describe the ways in which students assisted in the preparation of this grant application
7. Who will be responsible for the maintenance of these trees (i.e., district or campus personnel, individuals, organizations)?