

***TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL
COMMITTEE***

***ACTION / DISCUSSION ITEMS
AGENDA ITEM III. D.***

2009 One Voice
Proposed Projects
Updated: 10/29/2008

2008 Finals	Name of Project	Project Description	Project Location	Total Request	Applicant	Contact Person/Presenter	Presentation Time
✓	State Route 180 - Temperance to Academy Ave.	Completion of construction of the segment of SR 180 from Temperance to Academy	Southeast Fresno County	\$3,000,000	Fresno COG (City of Sanger)	John F. Mulligan 876-6300 X1210 - jmulligan@ci.sanger.ca.us	1:20
✓	Highway 269 Bridge Project	Build a 500 foot bridge across the Arroyo Pasajero Creek	City of Huron	\$1,500,000	Fresno COG (I-5 Business Dev. Corridor)	Richard Fosse - 855-6850, ref12@fresno.com	1:30
✓	Golden State Corridor Ec. Dev. Infrastructure Improvements	Roadway rehab., improvements and enhancements to facilitate economic development.	G.S.Blvd. through Kingsburg, Selma, Fowler, and portions of Fresno Co.	\$3,000,000	Fresno COG (Fresno County Public Works)	Lynn Gorman, 262-4091, lgorman@co.fresno.ca.us Fowler: Randy Deaver 834-3113 Kingsburg: Don Pauley 897-5821 Selma DB Heusser 891-2200	1:40
✓	Recycled Water System Infrastructure System - Phase D	Complete build-out of main water transmission lines. Developed in 5 phases - Total Cost - \$35M	City of Clovis	\$1,500,000 - Phase D	City of Clovis	Kathy Millison 324-2074, kathym@cityofclovis.com	1:50
✓	Veteran's Boulevard	Diagonal connection between Herndon Ave. and Grantland in NW Fresno	NW area of City of Fresno	\$3,000,000	City of Fresno Public Works	Bruce Rudd 621-7770 bruce.rudd@fresno.gov	2:00
✓	Manning Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation and Reconstruction.	Manning Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation and Reconstruction.	Manning Avenue entrance to Reedley over the Kings River	\$500,000 FY2009/10 for PE & RW; \$3,000,000 FY2010/11 Construction	City of Reedley Public Works	Rocky Rogers - 637-4200 ext. 213, rocky.rogers@reedley.com Dana Ritschel dana.ritschel@reedley.com	2:10
✓	NEVER GIVE UP - Fresno County Gang Prevention Initiative	Institute first step of countywide Gang Prevention Initiative proposing to increase concentration of fluoride ions in drinking water to reduce incidence of tooth decay	Cities and unincorporated areas of Fresno County, CA	\$3,000,000 OVER 3 YEARS	Fresno County	Jeanette Ishii - 488-1710 jishii@co.fresno.ca.us	2:20
	Fresno County Fluoridation Project		County of Fresno	\$1,000,000	First 5 Fresno County	Rafael Trujillo: Kendra Rogers 241- 7444, 241-6516 rtrujillo@first5fresno.org; krogers@first5fresno.org	2:30
	Regional Data Interoperability Project	provide true interoperability between regional law enforcement, ambulance and fire by adding data compilation	County of Fresno	\$3,000,000- could be tailored to spread across additional years	Fresno - Administrative office/ Information Technology Services Dept.	Gary Osmondson 494-3255 gosmondson@co.fresno.ca.us	2:40

Updated: 10/29/2008

C:\Documents and Settings\dotdiew\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK8F2009 One Voice Proposed Projects

ONE VOICE 2009 PROJECT SCORE/RANKING

RANK		TOTAL SCORE	\$ REQUEST	Congressional District
1	State Route 180 - Temperance to Academy Ave.	29.3750	\$3.00 M	21
2	Fresno Yosemite International Airport	29.3590	Support*	21
3	Air Quality Enterprise/ Empowerment Zone Designation	29.0238	Support*	18, 19, 20, 21
4	Fresno County Gang Prevention Initiative	28.5958	\$3.00 M	20, 21
5	Golden State Corridor Ec. Dev. Infrastructure	28.5882	\$3.00 M	19, 20, 21
6	Veteran's Boulevard	26.5882	\$3.00 M	19
7	Recycled Water Master Plan System - Phase C	26.1176	\$1.50 M	21
8	Regional Data Interoperability Project	25.8000	\$3.50 M	18, 19, 20, 21
9	Manning Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation and Reconstruction	24.8750	\$.50 M	21
10	Highway 269 Bridge Project	18.2500	\$1.50 M	20
11	Fresno County Fluoridation Project	12.3791	\$1.00 M	21
#N/A	FHA SAFETEA-LU Rural roads and Rehabilitation			
#N/A	FHA SAFETEA-LU - State Route 99 Improvements			
#N/A	FHA SAFETEA-LU - State Route 180 Improvements			
#N/A	FHA SAFETEA-LU - Priorities and Principles			

* No money is requested for these projects. The request is for support of the project or the support for the restoration of project funding.

***TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL
COMMITTEE***

***ACTION / DISCUSSION ITEMS
AGENDA ITEM III. E.***

May 22, 2008

To: Fresno COG Policy Board

From: Barbara Steck, Senior Transportation Planner
Fresno COG Blueprint Project Manager

Re: Fresno COG's Blueprint Concept: "Highly Connected City Centers"

This is an update following the Blueprint Roundtable's technical subcommittee meeting of May 14, 2008. At that meeting, the subcommittee met to refine the Blueprint elements outlined at the Blueprint Roundtable's workshop on May 1st. During the May 1st meeting, the committee had reached consensus on a hybrid concept that borrowed elements from several scenarios, but was based on Scenario E's Metro Rural Loop transportation network. The Roundtable is recommending that the COG Policy Board submit this hybrid to U.C. Davis as Fresno COG's Preferred Blueprint Concept that will be included in the Valleywide analysis. Knowing that the concept still needs to be modified a bit, time and budget suggest that we forward it as is to U.C. Davis with the caveat that specific elements be "tweaked" during the Valleywide modeling runs.

The elements of *Fresno COG's Preferred Blueprint Concept* note that:

- It borrows from other proposed scenarios.
- It is based on a high capacity, multi-modal transportation network that provides connectivity throughout the region. The transportation system includes east-west transportation corridors connecting to Interstate 5.
- Overall, new growth between now and 2050 will average 8 dwelling units/acre. Growth priority will be to existing cities and planned development areas (recognizing the growth needs of smaller communities). It will involve infill development, redevelopment (at levels somewhere between those projected in Scenarios C and E [or averaging 983 units per year countywide]), and greenfield development.
- This scenario allows for growth to meet regional housing needs and general plan growth areas, consistent with the smart growth principles of Diversity, Density, Design and Destination (adjusting allocations to deal with RHNA and future adopted General Plans). (This does not preclude expansion of spheres of influence under certain circumstances that include smart growth principles.)
- It would discourage, but not prohibit, growth on strategic farmland and resource conservation/open space land. (Strategic farmland maps will be provided as part of the Blueprint toolbox.)
- It will provide for employment centers to serve the west side of Fresno County either along the I-5 Corridor or other appropriate locations.
- It will require collaborative planning in order to ensure that policies are in place for planned, orderly growth within planned growth areas while allowing flexibility in meeting the performance goals.
- It will allow some flexibility in allocating growth, but in a manner that will ensure acceptable performance outcomes.

A principal objective of the preferred scenario is competitive economic development. Job growth should be balanced with housing growth in order to reduce VMT (vehicle miles traveled), and should include appropriate job growth for west side cities.

The attachment shows the modeling assumptions of the "Scenario E - Metro Rural Loop". In an effort not to "reinvent the wheel", staff suggests using the performance measures associated with this scenario to make the comparison with the "Scenario A - Current Trends" with Scenario E, which we will adapt to form the preferred hybrid concept. This will allow us to move forward with the process and forward Fresno's Preferred Blueprint concept on to U.C. Davis for Valleywide analysis. The elements listed above further define Fresno COG's Preferred Blueprint Concept. Specific edits to the Valleywide modeling of Fresno's submittal will capture those elements as well as include:

- Removing discouragement of Strategic Farmland within cities' SOL.
- Adjust redevelopment numbers to achieve annual countywide average of 983 units per year.
- Modify "Community Allocation Tiers" shown below to allow growth to be more evenly distributed throughout county. (This will require further discussion on how the tiers will be weighted.)

Staff and PAC recommend that the COG board submit the hybrid scenario to U.C. Davis with the elements and caveats mentioned above, with the understanding that these items will be included in the Valleywide modeling and that the Blueprint is still a work in progress